Region 5 Annual Summaryfor the 2013 Hunting Season



Prepared by: Dale Nixdorf Date: February 27, 2014

Statistical Summary

Total number of Cooperators: 160

•How many new cooperators were enrolled? 1

•How many cooperators were not re-enrolled? 10

•Identify reasons for not re-enrolling, if known: (there are cooperators in the table below that had multiple BMAs and are still enrolled, but withdrew one of their enrolled BMAs)

•How many potential cooperators could not be enrolled due to limited funding? 0

Total number of contracts: 170

Total number of BMAs: 131 + 1 Access Corridor to USFS

Total number of acres enrolled: 678,997•Total acres of private land: 603,816

•Total acres of non-private land (state, BLM, USFS, other): 75,181

Richard Tietjens	Dept. did not offer re-enrollment due to lack of use on BMA.					
Justin Downs	Property sold. Cooperator assumed Dan Downs contract.					
Dan Downs	Cooperator deceased. Contract was assumed by Justin Downs.					
Gary Heiken	Cooperator no longer leasing property. Property is enrolled by new lessee.					
Ken Wahl	Cooperator deceased.					
Kilby Butte Colony	Cooperator frustrated over not drawing elk permits using landowner preference. Biologist discussed contractual elk agreement (HB454) with cooperator but no agreement was reached. Cooperator had property in two BMAs and continued enrollment in one.					
Rawhide Ranch	Hunter days dropped enough to affect payment and cooperator was not interested in enrolling for reduced amount.					
Jim Ott	Property sold.					
Larry Steiger	Cooperator wanted more control of who was allowed to hunt.					
Bill Clements	Cooperator displeased with hunter behavior. Cooperator had property in two BMAs and continued enrollment in one.					
Ken Ross	Cooperator no longer able to manage BMA due to personal reasons. Family was not interested in continuing enrollment.					
David Baird	Cooperator was going to be absent for 2013 hunting season. Was not interested in Type I BMA.					
Jorden Pfliger	Cooperator did not return contract by enrollment deadline. Cooperator is interested in future enrollment.					
Scott Holden	Property sold. Buyer paid seller to withdraw property prior to closing date.					

•How many potential cooperators could not be enrolled due to limited funding? 0

Total number of contracts: 170

Total number of BMAs: 131 + 1 Access Corridor to USFS

Total number of acres enrolled: 678,997•Total acres of private land: 603,816

•Total acres of non-private land (state, BLM, USFS, other): 75,181

Total contract dollars: \$542,886.17

•Total hunter day & enrollment payments: \$520,927.40

•Total weed management payments: \$24,943.77

•Total hunter management services deducted: \$2,985.00

Total number of hunters: 29,760*

Total number of hunter days: 36,607*

* Numbers reflect permission records received as of 2/27/2014. Permission records of three landowners had not been received as of yet. It is estimated, based on previous use that an additional 900 hunter days will be accounted for on these yet to be received records.

Timelines and Regional Process

Explain how the program is administered in the region, using an annual timeline to identify key deadlines for program activities.

January-February: BMA hunter records due in office by Jan. 31. Counting and evaluation of hunter use records was done during this time. The annual report was completed and sent to Helena by February 28th deadline. Payment schedule forms were completed for each BMA.

March-April: Program allocation request submitted in March. Spring Coordinators meetings and budget allocations occur. All BMAs were evaluated using criteria shown on attached Re-Enrollment Decision Report. Biologists and wardens were given the opportunity to evaluate BMAs in their areas using these same criteria. Re-enrollment Committee met in April. May-June: Re-enrollment contracts and supply order forms were mailed to cooperators in early May. Some materials ordered at this time (roster boxes, permission slips, sign-in coupons, aluminum signs).

July: Re-enrollment and enrollment contracts completed prior to July 12th deadline. Edited tabloid (Hunting Access Guide) layout, including chart and map edits. Considerable time and effort was put into converting previous Word format tabloid chart to Excel format. This was done to facilitate combining all seven regions into one tabloid. Tabloid information was due in Helena by July 19th. Sign orders were placed with the print shop. Began printing individual BMA maps/rules. All maps were printed using the regional office's color printer/copier.

August: Contracts and all attachments were due in Helena by August 15th. Three Hunting Access Technicians (HATs) started in mid to late August. They were responsible for the set-up (putting up signs and roster boxes) of several Type I & II BMAs that the Department provides hunter management services on. Information was available to the public beginning August 15th. Hunters could make reservations starting August 22nd. Administrative Support staff mailed and handed

Hunter/Landowner Comments or Complaints

Were any formal complaints filed for this season (if yes, explain below)? No

Total number of Hunter Comment Cards received: 0

- •Number and percent of total hunters who observed game: N/A
- •Number and percent of total hunters who bagged game: N/A
- •Number and percent of total hunters who rated BMA experience satisfactory: N/A

Total number of completed Daily Sign-In Coupons received: 2,753 (13% return rate)

- •Number and percent of total hunters who observed game: 1,458 (53%)
- •Number and percent of total hunters who bagged game: 329 (12%)
- •Number and percent of total hunters who rated BMA experience satisfactory: 1,973 (72%). 499 (18%) did not comment.

Other hunter comments or complaints received (either summarize or reference as inclusion in appendix):

- •Hunters were pleased that the individual BMA rules/maps were available via the internet.
- •Going to one Hunting Access Guide for all seven regions was well received.

Landowner comments or complaints received (either summarize or reference as inclusion in appendix):

- •Landowners were given the opportunity to complete a questionnaire as part of re-enrollment in 2013. The questionnaire asked what the landowner's goals for enrolling were and if they were being met, if they were satisfied with the number of hunters and hunter days on their BMA, if they were satisfied with their current hunter management system, if they were satisfied with the way game numbers were being managed, and if they were satisfied with the current benefits to include compensation, license, FWP seasonal staff. 44 questionnaires were returned.
 - Of the respondents, 36 said their goals were being met, 2 were not, and 3 were somewhat being met. 37 were satisfied with levels of hunters and 3 were not. 39 were satisfied with their current hunter management system and 2 were not. 35 were satisfied with current game number management and 6 were not. 37 were satisfied with current benefits and 4 were not.
 - · Of those stating their goals for enrolling in Block Management, providing manageable public hunting (23 responses) was the most common, followed by game management/game damage reduction (16), compensation (3), and enforcement (1). Landowners could identify more than one goal so these numbers do not represent individuals.

Harvest Information

Briefly summarize any methods used to gather harvest information related to block management areas in the region. If such information can be easily reported below, insert it in whatever format works best. Otherwise, reference as an inclusion in the appendix.

- •Region 5 check station data summaries for antelope and deer included in Appendix. Refer to Tables 1 & 2.
- •Summary of daily sign-in comment cards showing species hunted and harvested included in Appendix. Refer to Table 3.

Regional Recommendations

Articulate any regional concerns or recommendations regarding the overall program or the program as it is currently administered in the region. Be as specific as possible, and if appropriate, identify the individual(s) citing the concern or recommendation.

- •There should be consistency between Regions when figuring payments from year to year. Currently there are at least three different methods being used across the state to calculate the coming year's contract payment. Hunter management service deductions should also be uniform, both in amount and application. Statewide consistency on these would increase Program credibility and reduce confusion, especially when cooperators have land in more than one Region.
- •Rather than specifying huntable game and huntable numbers on the BMA contract, there should be a simple question asking if there are any gender/species that may not be hunted. A yes answer would clearly identify any restrictions and would require a Restriction Form be filled out to determine if the restriction constituted a loss of the gender/species impact payment.

Table 1. Distribution of antelope harvest relative to land status. Includes Region 5 antelope only, field checks and check station checks. All fawns are included in table with does. Unknown age antelope are not included in calculated percentages for individual age classes. Unknown age antelope are included in total all and % of total all. **MALES** TOTAL TOTAL **%OF TOTAL** LAND STATUS 0.5 %0.5 1.5 %1.5 2.5 %2.5 3.5 %3.5 4.5 %4.5 UNK KNOWN AGE ALL ALL BLM 0 #DIV/0! 0 #DIV/0! #DIV/0! 0 #DIV/0! 0 0.0 BMA 10 25.6 10 25.6 5 12.8 14 35.9 3 39 42 24.6 **FWS** 0 #DIV/0! 0 #DIV/0! 0 #DIV/0! 0 #DIV/0! 0 0 0 0.0 0 #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! NFS 0 0 0 O O O 0.0 PRI 5 18 16.4 24 21.8 19 17.3 44.5 110 115 67.3 SSS 2 14.3 0 7.1 28.6 50.0 14 14 8.2 4 0 #DIV/0! 0 #DIV/0! UNK 0 #DIV/0! 0 #DIV/0! 0 0 0 0.0 TOTAL 30 18.4 35 21.5 28 17.2 70 42.9 8 163 171 100.0 DOE/FAWN BLM 1 100.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0 1 1 2.0 0.0 7 41.2 2 7 1 BMA 11.8 1 5.9 0 0.0 41.2 17 18 36.0 0 #DIV/0! **FWS** 0 #DIV/0! 0 #DIV/0! #DIV/0! 0 #DIV/0! 0 0 0 0.0 0 #DIV/0! NFS 0 #DIV/0! 0 #DIV/0! 0 #DIV/0! 0 #DIV/0! 0 0 0 0.0 PRI 5 20.8 3 12.5 4.2 4.2 14 58.3 4 24 28 56.0 SSS 1 33.3 0 33.3 0 1 0 3 3 0.0 0.0 33.3 6.0 0 #DIV/0! 0 #DIV/0! #DIV/0! UNK 0 #DIV/0! 0 #DIV/0! 0 0 0 0 0.0 TOTAL 14 31.1 5 11.1 3 6.7 1 2.2 22 48.9 5 45 50 100.0 **Total Antelope** BLM 1 100.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0.0 0 1 0.5 12 21.4 19.6 5 21 37.5 4 BMA 12.5 11 8.9 56 60 27.1 FWS #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0! 0 #DIV/0! 0 0 0 0 0 0.0 NFS 0 #DIV/0! 0 #DIV/0! 0 #DIV/0! 0 #DIV/0! #DIV/0! 0 0 0 0.0 PRI 5 3.7 21 15.7 25 18.7 20 14.9 63 47.0 9 134 143 64.7 SSS 2 11.8 11.8 4 23.5 47.1 0 5.9 17 17 7.7 UNK 0 #DIV/0! O #DIV/0! 0 #DIV/0! O #DIV/0! 0 #DIV/0! O 0 O 0.0 TOTAL 14 6.7 35 38 29 13.9 92 221 16.8 18.3 44.2 13 208 100.0 Table 6. Composition of antelope on BMAs relative to all other land status. MALES %MALES FEMALES %FEMALES FAWNS %FAWNS TOTAL % OF TOT YEAR 7 BMA 42 70.0 11 18.3 11.7 60 27.1 ALL OTHERS 25 7 129 80.1 15.5 4.3 161 72.9 TOTAL 171 77.4 36 6.3 221 100.0 16.3 14

BMA 69 84.1 9 11.0 4 4.9 82 FWS 0 #DIV/0! 0 #DIV/0! 0 #DIV/0! 0 NFS 61 96.8 2 3.2 0 0.0 63 PRI 212 80.3 43 16.3 9 3.4 264 SSS 17 11.0 3 15.0 0 0.0 20 UNK 1 100.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 1 WMA 1 100.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 1 NPS 0 #DIV/0! 0 #DIV/0! 0 #DIV/0! 0 FAS 3 100.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 3 TOTAL 383 82.9 66 14.3 13 2.8 462 WHITETAILS LAND STATUS BUCKS DOES MODES FAWNS	Table 2. Shows land status of land which deer in Region 5 were harvested on in 2013. Includes field checks and unknown aged deer.										
LAND STATUS BUCKS %BUCKS DOES % of DOES FAWNS %FAWNS TOTAL	MILLEDEED										
BLM	LAND STATUS	BUCKS	%BUCKS			FAWNS	%FAWNS	TOTAL			
BMA 69 84.1 9 11.0 4 4,9 82 FWS 0 #DIV/0! 0 #DIV/0! 0 #DIV/0! 0 NFS 61 96.8 2 3.2 0 0.0 63 PRI 212 80.3 43 16.3 9 3.4 264 SSS 17 11.0 3 15.0 0 0.0 0.0 1 UNK 1 100.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 1 WMA 1 100.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 1 1 MPS 0 #DIV/0! 0 #DIV/0! 0 #DIV/0! 0 0.0 1				9	32.1	0	0.0	28			
NFS 61 96.8 2 3.2 0 0.0 63 PRI 212 80.3 43 16.3 9 3.4 264 SSS 17 11.0 3 15.0 0 0.0 22 UNK 1 100.0 0 0.0 0 0 0.0 1 WMA 1 100.0 0 0.0 0 0 0.0 1 NPS 0 8 DIV/0! 0 #DIV/0! 0 #DIV/0! 0 FAS 3 100.0 0	BMA	69	84.1	9	11.0		4.9	82			
PRI	FWS		DIV/0!	0	#DIV/0!	0	#DIV/0!	0			
SSS	NFS	6	96.8	2	3.2	0	0.0	63			
UNK		21:	80.3	43	16.3	9	3.4	264			
WMA		1	11.0	3	15.0	0	0.0	20			
NPS	UNK		100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1			
FAS 3 100.0 0 0 0.0 0 0.0 3 TOTAL 383 82.9 66 14.3 13 2.8 462		:	100.0	0	_	0	0.0	1			
TOTAL 383 82.9 66		'		0		0		0			
LAND STATUS BUCKS MBUCKS DOES MDOES FAWNS MFAWNS TOTAL		+						3			
LAND STATUS BUCKS MBUCKS DOES MODES FAWNS TOTAL	TOTAL	383	82.9	66	14.3	13	2.8	462			
LAND STATUS BUCKS MBUCKS DOES MODES FAWNS TOTAL					<u> </u>						
BLM 1 50.0 0 0.0 1 50.0 2 BMA 26 48.1 12 22.2 16 29.6 54 FAS 0 0 0.0 0 0 0.0 2 100.0 2 NFS 16 50.0 11 34.4 5 15.6 32 PRI 128 44.1 107 36.9 55 19.0 290 SSS 13 72.2 3 16.7 2 11.1 18 UNK 0 #DIV/0! 0 #DIV/0! 0 #DIV/0! 0 #DIV/0! 0 WMA 0 0 #DIV/0! 0 #DIV/0! 0 #DIV/0! 0 #DIV/0! 0 TOTAL 184 46.2 133 33.4 81 20.4 398 Comparison of BMAs to all other land status combined. MULE DEER ANT+ 8ANT- 8ANT- TOTAL BMA 69 84.1 13 15.9 82 OTHER 314 82.6 66 17.4 380 WHITETAILS BMA 26 48.1 28 51.9 54											
BMA											
FAS		_ +						2			
NFS								54			
PRI 128 44.1 107 36.9 55 19.0 290 SSS 13 72.2 3 16.7 2 11.1 18 UNK 0 #DIV/0! 0 #DIV/0! 0 #DIV/0! 0 #DIV/0! 0 WMA 0 #DIV/0! 0 #DIV/0! 0 #DIV/0! 0 #DIV/0! 0 TOTAL 184 46.2 133 33.4 81 20.4 398 Comparison of BMAs to all other land status combined. MULE DEER ANT+ %ANT+ ANT- %ANT- TOTAL BMA 69 84.1 13 15.9 82 OTHER 314 82.6 66 17.4 380 WHITETAILS BMA 26 48.1 28 51.9 54								2			
SSS		+						32			
UNK 0 #DIV/0! 0 #DIV/0! 0 #DIV/0! 0 #DIV/0! 0 #DIV/0! 0 TOTAL 184 46.2 133 33.4 81 20.4 398 Comparison of BMAs to all other land status combined. MULE DEER ANT+ %ANT+ ANT- %ANT- TOTAL BMA 69 84.1 13 15.9 82 OTHER 314 82.6 66 17.4 380 WHITETAILS BMA 26 48.1 28 51.9 54											
WMA 0 #DIV/0! 0 #DIV/0! 0 #DIV/0! 0 #DIV/0! 0 TOTAL 184 46.2 133 33.4 81 20.4 398 Comparison of BMAs to all other land status combined. MULE DEER ANT+ %ANT+ ANT- %ANT- TOTAL BMA 69 84.1 13 15.9 82 OTHER 314 82.6 66 17.4 380 WHITETAILS BMA 26 48.1 28 51.9 54	<u> </u>		- -								
TOTAL 184 46.2 133 33.4 81 20.4 398 Comparison of BMAs to all other land status combined. MULE DEER ANT+ %ANT+ ANT- %ANT- TOTAL BMA 69 84.1 13 15.9 82 OTHER 314 82.6 66 17.4 380 WHITETAILS BMA 26 48.1 28 51.9 54								0			
Comparison of BMAs to all other land status combined. MULE DEER ANT+			_								
MULE DEER ANT+ %ANT+ ANT- %ANT- TOTAL BMA 69 84.1 13 15.9 82 OTHER 314 82.6 66 17.4 380 WHITETAILS BMA 26 48.1 28 51.9 54	TOTAL	184	46.2	133	33.4	81	20.4	398			
MULE DEER ANT+ %ANT+ ANT- %ANT- TOTAL BMA 69 84.1 13 15.9 82 OTHER 314 82.6 66 17.4 380 WHITETAILS BMA 26 48.1 28 51.9 54		'		<u> </u>							
ANT+ %ANT+ ANT- %ANT- TOTAL BMA 69 84.1 13 15.9 82 OTHER 314 82.6 66 17.4 380 WHITETAILS BMA 26 48.1 28 51.9 54	Comparison of BMA	As to all other land sta		<u>_</u>	L						
BMA 69 84.1 13 15.9 82 OTHER 314 82.6 66 17.4 380 WHITETAILS BMA 26 48.1 28 51.9 54					0/41/			<u> </u>			
OTHER 314 82.6 66 17.4 380 WHITETAILS BMA 26 48.1 28 51.9 54											
WHITETAILS BMA 26 48.1 28 51.9 54											
BMA 26 48.1 28 51.9 54	OTHER	314	82.6	66	17.4	380	' 	L			
BMA 26 48.1 28 51.9 54	NATION AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY										
OIDEK 158 45.9 186 54.1 344								L			
	UTHEK	158	45.9	186	54.1	344	· Γ	!			
			<u></u>	<u> </u>	'	L	L				

Table 3. Species hunted and harvested on Type I BMAs using daily sign-in coupons.

197	7.2%
1544	56.1%
485	17.6%
481	17.5%
46	1.7%
2753	
1458	53.0%
	47.0%
329	12.0%
	88.0%
6	1.8%
144	43.8%
55	16.7%
101	30.7%
	7.0%
329	
1973	71.7%
281	10.2%
499	18.1%
2753	
20554	
13.4%	
	1544 485 481 46 2753 1458 1295 2753 329 2424 2753 6 144 55 101 23 329 1973 281 499 2753 2753